

Minutes

BOROUGH PLANNING COMMITTEE

21 June 2022

Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre,
High Street, Uxbridge



HILLINGDON
LONDON

	<p>Committee Members Present: Councillors Henry Higgins (Chairman) Steve Tuckwell (Vice-Chairman) Farhad Choubedar Ekta Gohil Gursharan Mand Jagjit Singh</p> <p>LBH Officers Present: Neil Fraser, Democratic Services Officer Nicole Cameron, Legal Advisor Roz Johnson, Planning Services Manager Fiona Rae, Planning Team Leader</p>
14.	<p>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (<i>Agenda Item 1</i>)</p> <p>Apologies were received from Councillor Sansarpuri.</p>
15.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING (<i>Agenda Item 2</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>
16.	<p>TO SIGN AND RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (<i>Agenda Item 3</i>)</p> <p>RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 24 May 2022 be approved as a correct record.</p>
17.	<p>MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR URGENT (<i>Agenda Item 4</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>
18.	<p>TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED PART I WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THE ITEMS MARKED PART II WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE (<i>Agenda Item 5</i>)</p> <p>It was confirmed that all items would be considered in public.</p>
19.	<p>95 WOOD END, GREEN ROAD - 32/APP/2020/3725 (<i>Agenda Item 6</i>)</p> <p>Officers introduced the report, confirming that the application had been submitted in response to a planning enforcement investigation relating to the insertion of a first-floor</p>

window and creation of a balcony/roof terrace at the rear of the building, without planning permission.

It was highlighted the drawings submitted by the applicant did not accurately reflect the building on site, nor did they match that which had been previously granted planning permission. Officers had requested clarification or revised drawings, but these had been received. Therefore, the application was considered to only relate to the proposed first floor rear extension as set out on the application form.

Officers considered that the application was unacceptable due to a lack of harmonisation with the existing character and appearance of the surrounding area, a negative impact to neighbours and adjoining properties, and a likely sub-standard of living for future occupiers (though it was accepted that the internal layout and light levels could not be verified). The application was therefore recommended for refusal.

A petition had been lodged in objection to the application, but neither the petitioner nor the applicant/agent for the application were present.

Members supported the officer's recommendation for the reasons set out. The officer's recommendation was moved, seconded, and when put to a vote, unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED: That the application be refused.

20. **14 DEANE AVENUE - 10848/APP/2022/688** (*Agenda Item 7*)

Officers introduced the report, which was a retrospective application submitted in response to an enforcement notice relating to roof additions that had been built without planning permission. The proposal was seeking planning permission for works to substantially alter the unauthorised rear dormer and side extension roof profile to overcome the concerns raised by the Local Authority. Alterations would include a reduction in the width of the rear dormer and a set down of the side extensions roof ridge height.

Officers considered that the proposed alterations overcame the concerns of the Local Authority, and the application was therefore recommended for approval.

The officer's recommendation was moved, seconded, and when put to a vote, unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED: That the application be approved.

The meeting, which commenced at 7.00 pm, closed at 7.17 pm.

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Neil Fraser on 01895 250692. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.